

Session III Technology-based interventions

Digital Therapeutics for Obesity

서 유 빈 원광대 산본병원





IBesity





BY KASHMIRA GANDER ON 7/25/19 AT 2:45 PM EDT



medium.com/@mebrin/ibesity-713346b714ec

www.newsweek.com/using-smartphone-this-long-could-raise-risk-obesity-1451104





Emerging Technologies and Virtual Medicine in Obesity Management





RECOMMENDATIONS

- Implementation of management strategies can be delivered through web-based platforms (e.g., online education on medical nutrition therapy and physical activity) or mobile devices (e.g., daily weight reporting through a smartphone phone application) in the management of obesity (Level 2a, Grade B).¹²
- We suggest that healthcare providers incorporate individualized feedback and follow-up (e.g., personalized coaching or feedback via phone or email) into technology-based management strategies to improve weight loss outcomes (Level 4, Grade D).³
- The use of wearable activity tracking technology should be used as part of a comprehensive strategy for weight loss (Level 1a, Grade A).⁴

https://obesitycanada.ca/guidelines/technologies/

Multifactorial etiology of obesity



Multifactorial etiology of obesity





Figure 2. Weight Loss at 1 Year with High-Intensity Lifestyle Interventions or Pharmacotherapy Combined with Lowto-Moderate-Intensity Lifestyle Counseling.

Eight-Year Weight Losses with an Intensive Lifestyle Intervention: The Look AHEAD Study

The Look AHEAD Research Group



Figure 2 Figure shows mean (\pm SE) weight losses over 8 years for participants randomly assigned to an intensive lifestyle intervention (ILI) or diabetes support and education (DSE; usual care group). Differences between groups were significant (P < 0.001) at all years.

 \rightarrow Look AHEAD's intensive lifestyle intervention produced clinically meaningful weight loss (5%) at year 8 in 50% of patients with type 2 diabetes and can be used to manage other obesity-related co-morbid conditions.

Obesity (Silver Spring) 2006;14:737-752.

REDUCTION IN THE INCIDENCE OF TYPE 2 DIABETES WITH LIFESTYLE INTERVENTION OR METFORMIN

DIABETES PREVENTION PROGRAM RESEARCH GROUP*



Figure 2. Cumulative Incidence of Diabetes According to Study Group.

The diagnosis of diabetes was based on the criteria of the American Diabetes Association.¹¹ The incidence of diabetes differed significantly among the three groups (P<0.001 for each comparison).

 \rightarrow Lifestyle changes and treatment with metformin both reduced the incidence of diabetes in persons at high risk. The lifestyle intervention was more effective than metformin. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study

Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group*



 \rightarrow Prevention or delay of diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin can persist for at least 10 years.

Figure 4. Lifestyle Therapy

Evidence-based lifestyle therapy for treatment of obesity should include 3 components Recommendations: R64 through R75

Meal Plan (R64, R65, R66)

- Reduced-calorie healthy meal plan
- ~500–750 kcal daily deficit
- Individualize based on personal and cultural preferences
- Meal plans can include: Mediterranean, DASH, low-carb, low-fat, volumetric, high protein, vegetarian
- Meal replacements
- Very low-calorie diet is an option in selected patients and requires medical supervision

Team member or expertise: dietitian, health educator

Physical Activity (R64, R67, R68, R69, R70, R71)

- Voluntary aerobic physical activity progressing to >150 minutes/week performed on 3–5 separate days per week
- Resistance exercise: single-set repetitions involving major muscle groups, 2–3 times per week
- Reduce sedentary behavior
- Individualize program based on preferences and take into account physical limitations

Team member or expertise: exercise trainer, physical activity coach, physical/occupational therapist

Behavior (R64, R72, R73, R74, R75)

ICT

An interventional package that includes any number of the following:

- Self-monitoring (food intake, exercise, weight)
- Goal setting
- Education (face-to-face meetings, group sessions, remote technologies)
- Problem-solving strategies
- Stimulus control
- Behavioral contracting
- Stress reduction
- Psychological evaluation, counseling, and treatment when needed
- Cognitive restructuring
- Motivational interviewing
- Mobilization of social support structures

Team member or expertise: health educator, behaviorist, clinical psychologist, psychiatrist

Digital delivery of behavioral intervention



San Francisco, CA (May 30, 2018) -- <u>Omada Health</u> today announced that the company has graduated to full recognition status from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Omada now becomes the largest Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) provider, in-person or virtual, to achieve full recognition from the CDC.

BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care

Engagement and outcomes in a digital Diabetes Prevention Program: 3-year update

Omada Health Program

accessible via internet-enabled desktop or mobile devices

- one year of a behavior change curriculum approved by the CDC DPRP
- 16-week DPP-based weight loss intervention ongoing weight maintenance intervention
- technology-enabled tools to track nutritional intake, physical activity and body weight
- weekly lessons, personalized health coaching, online social network
- Single-arm, nonrandomized trial, 220 prediabetes patients: baseline mean Wt 100.2kg, BMI 36.4, A1c 5.98

Table 2B Changes from baseline in body weight and A1c for participants who completed nine or more lessons (n = 155)											
	Weight o	hange (lb)	Weight	change (%)	A1c c	A1c change					
Time point	Mean (SE)*	p Value	Mean (SE)*	p Value	Mean (SE)*	p Value					
16 weeks	-11.6 (0.7)	< 0.0001	-5.2 (0.3)	<0.0001	+0.03 (.06)	0.62					
1 year	-10.2 (0.9)	< 0.0001	-4.9 (0.5)	< 0.0001	-0.40 (.07)	< 0.0001					
2 years	-8.3 (1.4)	<0.0001	-4.3 (0.8)	< 0.0001	-0.46 (.08)	<0.0001					
3 years	-6.3 (2.1)	0.0024	-2.9 (1.0)	0.0024	-0.33 (.09)	0.0005					

Overview of technology-based interventions

Health care and ICT (Information & Communications Technology)

	헬스케어와	ICT 융합을 통한	· 보건·의료 서비·	스 <mark>의 발전과정</mark> 14)15)10	5)
구분	Telehealth	e-Health	u-Health (Ubiquitous Health)	s-Healthcare (Smart healthcare)	Digital Healthcare (s-healthcare + Mobile-health)
시기	1990년 중반 ~	2000년 ~	2000년 중반 ~	2010년 ~	2015년 이후 ~
정보화 수준	병원정보화	병원 간 정보교류	- 병원 간 정보교류 - 병원-환자 간 정보교류	의료, 복지, 종합	건강 관리 등 정보화
기반 ICT 기술	네트워킹	초고속 인터넷	무선 인터넷	- 스마트기기 - 앱스토어	- 웨어러블/모바일 기기 - VR/AR
주요 서비스 시스템	병원 운영 - HIS* - OCS* - PACS*	의무기록(EMR)* 웹사이트	건강기록(EHR)* 모니터링	개인건강기록(PHR)* 및 사례기반추론(CBR)* 기반 맞춤형 서비스	- IoT 기반 PHR - 클라우드 - 빅데이터 - 인공지능 - 디지털 치료제
서비스 내용	원내 치료	- 치료 - 정보제공	- 치료 - 정보제공 - 예방	- 5 - 0 - 4 - 9	니료 예방 복지 안전
주요 공급자/ Player	병원	병원	- 병원 - ICT 기업	병원, ICT 기업, 제 보험사, 서비스	약회사, 스포츠 기업, 기업 등 다양화
주요 수요자/ 이용자	의료인	- 의료인 - 환자	- 의료인 - 환자 - 일반인	의료인, 환자, 일빈 다동	안인, 기업, 정부 등 양화

Electronics and Telecommunications Research institute, 2020

Digital Health

- ICT + healthcare
- Engage consumers for wellness and health-related purposes by obtaining health data
- Do not require evidence
- No regulation

Digital Therapeutics Require clinical evidence (real world

outcomes)

J Med Internet Res 2005;7:e9.

Fam Med Prim Care Rev, 9(5), 2207.



Digital therapeutics (DTx)

DTx deliver to patients **evidence-based** therapeutic interventions that are driven by high quality **software** programs to **treat**, **manage**, **or prevent** a disease or disorder.

They are used **independently** or in concert **with** medications, devices, or other therapies to optimize patient care and health outcomes.

Digital therapeutics alliance https://dtxalliance.org/understanding-dtx/what-is-a-dtx/

Classification	Product	Company	Device classification	Therapeutic area	Approval status	Partnership
Prescription DTx	BlueStar [24–28]	WellDoc	Mobile app/web portal connected to blood glucose meter - infusion pump accessories (MRZ); Medical computers and software	Type 1 and type 2 diabetes	FDA-510(k)	Lifescan; Voluntis; Samsung
	Insulia [29,30] Voluntis reset [31] Pear Therapeutics		Mobile app/web portal - drug dose calculator (NDC)	Type 2 diabetes	FDA-510(k) EU-CE Mark	Sanofi; Livongo; Onduo; Verily;
			Mobile app - computerized behavioral therapy device for psychiatric disorders	Substance use disorder (SUD)	FDA-de novo	Monarch Novartis' Sandoz
	reSET-0 [31,32]	Pear Therapeutics	(PWE) Mobile app - computerized behavioral therapy device for psychiatric disorders (PWE)	Opioid use disorder (OUD)	FDA-510(k)	Novartis' Sandoz
	Freespira Palo Alto [33–35] Health Sciences		Mobile app - biofeedback device (HCC); Carbon dioxide gas analyzer (CCK)	Panic disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder	FDA-510(k)	Silicon Valley TMS
	Natural Cycles	Behavi	oral therapy		IOVO	-
	[36,37] Propeller Health [38,39]	Chroni	c disease managemer	(k) EU-CE	Novartis; Boebringer	
	ProAir	Patient	data collection and a	analysis	k)	Ingelheim; GSK
	Digihaler [40]		management - digital inhaler with built-in sensors that connects to a companion mobile app			
	EndeavorRx (ALK-T01) [21]	Akili	Video game	Pediatric ADHD	FDA-510(k) EU-CE Mark	
	Somryst [41]	Pear therapeutics	Mobile app - cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBTi) and sleep restriction	Chronic insomnia	FDA-510(k)	-
	Oleena [42]	Voluntis	Mobile app/web portal - oncology-related symptoms management and remote patient monitoring	All cancer	FDA-510(k)	-
	Kaia Back Pain Relief [43]	Kaia	Mobile app	Chronic, nonspecific low back pain	-	Min Doktor
	Sleepio [44–46]	Big Health	Mobile app - computerized behavioral therapy device for psychiatric disorders (PWF)	Sleep disorders	NICE	CVS Health
Non- Prescription DTx	Diabeo [47-49]	Voluntis	Mobile app/web portal	Type 1 and type 2 diabetes	EU-CE Mark	Sanofi; Onduo; Verily
DIA	Daylight [44,50]	Big Health	Mobile app - computerized behavioral therapy device for psychiatric disorders (PWF)	Worry and anxiety	-	CVS Health
	Clickotine [51,52]	Click Therapeutics	Mobile app	Smoking cessation	-	Megellan Health; Sanofi: Otsuka
	CureApp-SC [53,54]	CureApp, Inc.	Mobile app; portable CO checker	Smoking cessation	MHLW (Japan)	-
	Kaia App COPD	Kaia	Mobile app	COPD		

Therapy

Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2021;209:106319







Korea Institute of S&T Evaluation and Planning, 2020



디지털 치료기기 확승임상 승인 현황										
의뢰회사	승인일	제품명	제품 설명							
뉴냅스	2019년 6월13일	뉴냅비전	뇌손상 시야장애 개선							
라이프시맨틱스	2021년 9월3일	레드필 숨튼	호흡 재활							
에임메드	2021년 9월10일	솜즈	불면증 치료							
웰트	2021년 9월27일	필로우Rx	불면증 치료							
하이	2021년 12월30일	엥자이렉스	범불안장애 치료							
웰트	2022년 5월30일	필로우Rx (DCT 솔루션 적용)	불면증 치료							
뉴냅스	2022년 8월1일	비비드 브레인	뇌질환 시야장애 개선							
이모코그	2022년 9월28일	코그테라	경도인지장애 치료							
메디마인드	2022년 10월20일	알코테라	알코올 사용장애 개선							
А	2022년 10월28일	SMD SleepDoc	비기질성 불면장애 개선							

https://news.mt.co.kr/mtview.php?no=2022111109221021866

- Approval / Certification of manufacturing / Import
- Evaluation of technical documents
- Approval of clinical trial plans for DTx

Examples of interventions using technologies

- Web-based intervention
- Mobile application
- Wearable devices
- Virtual reality / Augmented reality
- Artificial intelligence



Mobile application

• Support behavioral change by more interactive and timely access to information and delivering assistance

Common features

- Food and exercise logging
- Body weight monitoring



Mobile application

Extra features

- Barcode scanners
- Provide feedback
- Support forums (social networking)
- Sync with other health and fitness apps or devices



JOURNAL OF MEDICAL INTERNET RESEARCH

Original Paper

Adherence to a Smartphone Application for Weight Loss Compared to Website and Paper Diary: Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial

Michelle Clare Carter, MA, RD; Victoria Jane Burley, MSc, PhD; Camilla Nykjaer, MSc; Janet Elizabeth Cade, MSc, PhD



Three-armed RCT 128 overweight volunteers Weight change at 6 months

Carter et al



Kcal 73 181 Smartphone app: My Meal Mate 14 Goal setting

Self-monitoring of diet and activity Feedback via weekly text message

Weightlossresources.co.uk Fad free tools for healthy weight loss



Weight loss program and diet tools for healthy weight loss

- Popular foods <u>calorie counter</u> and online calorie and nutrition databases.
- Keep a food diary the most powerful path to changing your diet.
- See how many calories you need to reach your weight loss goal.
- Browse our recipes, calorie count your own recipes.

Website: Weight Loss Resources

Similar self-monitoring intervention to the app

Trial retention Smartphone 93% / website group 55% / diary 53% **Adherence** Smartphone 92 days / website group 35 days / diary 29 days

Table 5. Change in anthropometric measures using an intention-to-treat^a analysis.

Anthropometric									
measurements	Sma	artphone	Diar	у	Web	site	Р ^b		
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)			
Weight (kg)									
Baseline	43	96.8 (91.9-101.8)	43	97.9 (92.2-103.6)	42	96.4 (90.2-102.6)			
6 weeks	43	93.9 ^c (89.0-99.0)	43	95.9 ^c (89.8-101.7)	42	95.1° (89.0-101.2)	.001		
6 months	43	92.2 ^c (87.0-97.4)	43	95.0° (89.0-101.0)	42	95.1 (89.0-101.3)	<.001		
BMI (kg/m ²) 6 months: -4.6 kg vs -2.9 kg vs -1.3 kg									
Baseline	43	33.7 (32.4-35.0)	43	34.5 (32.7-36.2)	42	34.5 (32.7-36.2)			
6 weeks	43	32.6 ^e (31.3-33.9)	43	33.7° (31.9-35.5)	42	34.0 (32.3-35.7)	<.001		
6 months	43	32.1 ^c (30.7-33.5)	43	33.4 (31.5-35.4)	42	34.0 (32.3-35.8)	<.001		
Body fat (%)									
Baseline	42	35.9 (34.7-37.1)	42	36.0 (34.5-37.5)	42	36.3 (35.1-37.5)			
6 weeks	42	35.0 ^e (33.7-36.2)	42	35.3° (33.8-36.9)	42	36.0 (34.7-37.2)	.01		
6 months	42	34.7 ^e (33.5-35.9)	42	35.1 (33.4-36.7)	42	35.9 (34.5-37.2)	.02		

Self-Monitoring via Digital Health in Weight Loss Interventions: A Systematic Review Among Adults with Overweight or Obesity

Michele L. Patel D¹, Lindsay N. Wakayama², and Gary G. Bennett D^{3,4}

Systematic review of 39 RCTs, (67 interventions) -Interventions \geq 12 weeks -Weight outcomes \geq 6 months





Figure 5 Relationship between digital self-monitoring and weight loss, by behavior type. Interventions that reported associations for each self-monitored item separately are represented in the figure in multiple sections rather than in the "combo" section; not all studies with digital self-monitoring reported associations with weight loss for all items that were self-monitored. Combo, a combination of self-monitored items whose engagement level was reported together rather than separately; PA, physical activity. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

- Electronic device designed to be worn on the user's body
- Detect, analyze, and transmit information
- May be incorporated to physical activity intervention for weight loss



Wearable technology

- Pedometer (step counter)
- Accelerometer
- GPS (global positioning system)
- PPG (photoplethysmogram) : optical sensor to measure HR, HRV, SpO2



Common features (Monitoring)

- Step counts
- Energy expenditure
- Sedentary time
- Heart rate, Temperature, SpO2
- Stress
- Sleep



Extra features

- Coaching
- In-time feedback
- Social networking
- Competition



- Chat with Coach

Hi Matthew! I'm your health coach, Shantel. I'm looking forward to working with you. To get us started, I'll share a brief overview of the typical path we take in our partnered journey. We may adapt this at any point based on your feedback:

1. Identify your big goal

2. Break that goal into bite-sized actions each week, with resources and guidance from me -- this is your action plan

3. Review your wins and learnings together in this messenger daily

4. Adapt your action plan each week

Shantel S. Sep 16, 2020

STRAVA

서로 의욕을 북돋아주는 액티브한 커뮤니티.





Interventions using wearable technology

Randomized Trial of a Fitbit-Based Physical Activity Intervention for Women

Lisa A. Cadmus-Bertram, PhD, Bess H. Marcus, PhD, Ruth E. Patterson, PhD, Barbara A. Parker, MD, Brittany L. Morey, MPH

BMI ≥25, inactive, postmenopausal women (N=51) : asked to perform 150 min/wk of MVPA and walk 10,000 steps/day

- Web-based Tracking Group: Fitbit + goal-setting, feedback
- Comparison group: pedometer + brief goal-setting, tips for increasing steps

MVPA: moderate to vigorous physical activity

PA outcome measure: ActiGraph GT3Xþ



۲

	Web	-based tracking	group (n=25)			Pedometer grou	ıp (<i>n</i> =26)		Between-	
	Baseline	16 weeks	Change	p-value	Baseline	16 weeks	Change	p-value	group p-value	Effect size (Cohen's d)
Minutes/week of physic	al activity									
Moderate to vigorous intensity (total)	172 (83)	234 (119)	62 (108)	0.008	176 (117)	189 (99)	13 (98)	0.51	0.11	0.48
Moderate to vigorous intensity (in bouts)	24 (39)	62 (82)	38 (83)	0.01	42 (68)	57 (69)	16 (76)	0.26	0.28	0.28
Light intensity	1,276 (311)	1,262 (320)	-14 (204)	0.49	1284 (383)	1,252 (317)	-33 (225)	0.82	0.54	0.09
Average steps per day	5,906 (1,968)	6,695 (2,708)	789 (1,979)	0.01	5,827 (2,431)	6,188 (2,423)	362 (1,605)	0.17	0.30	0.24
Body weight (kg)	82.4 (14.7)	82.2 (16.0)	-0.3 (2.4)	0.49	79.3 (12.2)	79.2 (13.2)	0.01 (2.3)	0.98	0.61	0.06

Table 2. Baseline to 16-Week Changes in Objectively Measured Physical Activity and Body Weight

Note: Boldface indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05).

The Web-Based Tracking Group wore the tracker on 95% of intervention days

Relative to baseline, the Web-Based Tracking Group **increased MVPA and steps**, compared to non-significant increases in the Pedometer Group

Effectiveness of wearable activity trackers to increase physical activity and improve health: a systematic review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses

Ty Ferguson, Timothy Olds, Rachel Curtis, Henry Blake, Alyson J Crozier, Kylie Dankiw, Dorothea Dumuid, Daiki Kasai, Edward O'Connor, Rosa Virgara, Carol Maher

Systematic review of 37 RCTs

: increase in **daily step count** (1800 per day), **walking time** (40 min per day), **MVPA** (6 min per day) decrease in **weight** (-1 kg) small or nonsignificant effect on physiological (BP, lipid profile, A1c) /psychosocial outcome

	Population	Analysis grouping	Number of studies	n	Model	Value (95% CI)		p value	l² (%)
Step count									
Brickwood et al, 2019	Mixed*, adults	Overall	11	2144	R, SMD	0.23 (0.15 to 0.32)	•	<0.0001	3
		SG: MF	7	1210	R, SMD	0.26 (0.12 to 0.41)	101	0.0004	25
		SG: AT-based	5	934	R, SMD	0.20 (0.08 to 0.33)	101	0.002	0
Davergne et al, 201940	RMD†, all ages	Overall	7	463	R, SMD	0.83 (0.29 to 1.38)		0.003	85
de Vries et al, 201641	OW OB, adults	Overall	5	417	R, SMD	0.90 (0.61 to 1.19)	⊢ •	<0.001	49
Gal et al, 201845	Mixed*, adults	Overall	7	1392	R, SMD	0.51 (0.12 to 0.91)	—	0-01	90
		SG: low RoB	3	469	R, SMD	0.67 (0.48 to 0.86)	H#H	<0.0001	0
		SG: post-intervention	6	573	R, SMD	0.33 (0.11 to 0.54)	HeH	0.003	29
Hannan et al, 201947	Cardiac rehab‡, adults	Overall	4	341	R, SMD	0-45 (-0-17 to 1-07)	⊢ •−	0.15	81
Kang et al, 200949	Mixed*, all ages	Overall	32	2570	R, G	0.53 (-0.04 to 1.11)	—		
		SG: combined ages	5	307	R, G	0.72 (0.56 to 0.88)	H e H		
		SG: children	10	296	R, G	0.78 (0.49 to 1.07)	⊢ ⊷		
		SG: adults	32	1924	R, G	0.28 (-0.10 to 0.66)	+ -		
		SG: older adults	3	43	R, G	0.68 (0.55 to 0.81)	101	<0.001	77
Liu et al, 202053	General, older adults	Overall	2	83	R, SMD	1.23 (0.75 to 1.70)		<0.0001	0
Lynch et al, 202055	General, adults	Overall	2	904	F, SMD	0.25 (0.17 to 0.32)	•	<0.01	57
Oliveira et al, 202059	Mixed*, older adults	Overall	23	2766	R, SMD	0.55 (0.40 to 0.70)	H e H	0	85.8
		SG: clinical conditions	14		R, SMD	0.54 (0.25 to 0.82)	⊢ •	0.001	
		SG: general population	9		R, SMD	0.61 (0.25 to 0.96)	⊢ ●−	0.002	
Qiu et al, 20199	COPD, adults	Overal	15	644	R, SMD	0.57 (0.31 to 0.84)	H H H	<0.05	75
		SG: AT + PR vs PR	6	146	R, SMD	0.64 (0.19 to 1.08)	— •—	<0.05	64
		SG: AT vs usual care	9	498	R, SMD	0.54 (0.20 to 0.89)		<0.05	81
Tang et al, 202066	Healthy, adults	Overall	7	543	F, SMD	0.33 (0.16 to 0.50)	101	<0.001	2
Vaes et al, 201368	T2DM, adults	Overal	8	633	R, SMD	0.81 (0.46 to 1.17)	⊢ •−	<0.0001	73
						-2 -1	0 1	2	

Newer technologies

Virtual reality

• Eating behavior : avoiding or coping with specific situation specific environmental situation

(ex. food cue)

Body image : overcoming distorted perception of body (ex. acceptance through confrontation)
 motivation for weight loss through virtual ideal self

Virtual Reality in Eating Disorders and Obesity

Posted On: 4th June 2014

➤ By Giuseppe Riva & Enrico Molinari



Figure 1: A patient undergoes experiential cognitive therapy

30.09.2019 · #GAMIFICATION #MENTAL HEALTH #THERAPY

Virtual reality: Avatars against obesity

A collaborative project develops virtual reality methods to positively affect the body perception of obese patients.



Obesity, which means having a high amount of extra body fat, is a widespread medical condition that affects more than 20 percent of the German population. Obesity is also a global epidemic: It is the number six cause of death in the world.

People struggling with obesity are often at war with their own bodies. A lot of them have stopped believing that they are able to lose weight at all. This state of discontentment can also have an impact on the social life and the mental health of **Original Articles Original Articles Original Articles**

Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking > VOL. 19, NO. 2 | Original Articles

The Power of the Virtual Ideal Self in Weight Control: Weight-Reduced Avatars Can Enhance the Tendency to Delay Gratification and Regulate Dietary Practices

Hsu-Chan Kuo, Chun-Chia Lee, and Wen-Bin Chiou 🖂

Newer technologies

Artificial intelligence technologies

- Mimicry of human intelligence through machine learning to attain and apply knowledge and skills
- Identifies individualized weight loss predictors and reinforces learning based on continuously collected data → optimization of personalized approach



Since an early flush of optimism in the 1950s, smaller subsets of artificial intelligence – first machine learning, then deep learning, a subset of machine learning – have created ever larger disruptions.

J Behav Med (2019) 42:276–290: Public Health Nutr. 2021 Jun; 24(8): 1993–2020

Potential benefits of DTx

- Easier access
- Real-time monitoring and interaction
- Improve adherence rate
- Enhance cost-effectiveness
- Patient data collection and analysis
- Personalized approach
- Enhance the quality of management

Clinical evidences

Original Paper

Use of Mobile Phone App Interventions to Promote Weight Loss: Meta-Analysis

Md Mohaimenul Islam^{1,2,3}, MSc; Tahmina Nasrin Poly^{1,2,3}, MSc; Bruno Andres Walther⁴, PhD; Yu-Chuan (Jack) Li^{1,2,3,5}, PhD



Weight loss difference Body weight -1.07 kg BMI -0.45 kg/m²

Web-Based Digital Health Interventions for Weight Loss and Lifestyle Habit Changes in Overweight and Obese Adults: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Figure 6. Meta-analysis results for mean weight change (kg) in Web-based-only versus nonactive interventions (wait list) in the control group. df: degrees of freedom; IV: interval variable; random: random effects model.

		1	Web-	based	only		C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
_	Study or Subgroup	N	/lean	SD	To	tal I	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		
	Collins et al., 2012	-	-2.14	3.32		99	0.36	2.33	104	40.7%	-2.50 [-3.29, -1.71]			
	Dunn, et al., 2016		-1.9	3	;	28	-0.3	2.3	36	14.2%	-1.60 [-2.94, -0.26]	_ _		
	Kraschnewski et al., 201	1	-1.4	2.79	1	43	0.6	2.84	45	18.5%	-2.00 [-3.18, -0.82]			
	Padwal et al. 2017		-2.8	6.7	2	25	-3.7	7.1	215	15.3%	0.90 [-0.39, 2.19]	+ -		
	Steinberg et al., 2013		-6.2	3.6	i	47	-0.3	3.7	44	11.3%	-5.90 [-7.40, -4.40]	_ _		
	Total (95% CI)				4	42			444	100.0 %	-2.14 [-2.65, -1.64]	◆		
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 46.	.85, df	'= 4 (F	• < 0.0	0001); I ^z =	91%						- 10	
	Test for overall effect: Z =	8.31	(P < 0	.0000	1)	-						-10 -5 U 5 Eavours Mohl Eavours (Controll	10	
												Favours (vveb) Favours (Control)		
Fig	ure 7. Meta-analysis results	for m	ean we	eight cl	nange	(kg) i	n Web	-based-	only ve	rsus offline	e interventions for stud	lies with <6 months follow-up		
dur	ation. df: degrees of freedom;	; IV: in	terval	variable	e; rand	lom: 1	random	1 effects	model.					
		Web-b	oased o	only	C	ontrol			Mean	Difference	Mean	Difference		
	Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Rar	ndom, 95% C	CI IV, Ran	dom, 95% Cl		
	Chung et al., 2014	-1.6	5.64	19	-1.5	4.6	19	3.2%	-0.10	0 [-3.37, 3.17	/]			
	Collins et al., 2012	-2.14	3.32	99	0.36	2.33	104	53.7%	-2.50	[-3.29, -1.71				
	Dunn, et al., 2016 Krasshnowski et al., 2011	-1.9	3	28	-0.3	2.3	36	18.8%	-1.60	[-2.94, -0.26		_		
	Klaschnewski et al., 2011	-1.4	2.79	43	0.0	2.04	40	24.470	-2.00	[-3.10, -0.02	-			
	Total (95% CI)			189			204	100.0%	-2.13	[-2.71, -1.55	5] 🔶			
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Cl	hi ² = 2.9	96, df =	3 (P = 0	.40); I ^z	= 0%					40			
	Test for overall effect: Z = 7.20) (P < 0.	00001)								-10 -5 Favours Me	b] Favours (Control)		
											i diodio (i i o			
Fig	ure 8. Meta-analysis results	for m	ean we	eight ch	lange	(kg) i	in Web	-based-	only ve	rsus offline	interventions for stud	lies with ≥6 months follow-up		
dur	ation. df: degrees of freedom;	; IV: in	terval	variable	e; rand	lom: 1	andom	1 effects	model.					
	W	Veb-bas	sed on	ly .	Cor	trol			Mean D	ifference	Mean	Difference		
	Study or Subgroup Me	ean	SD T	otal M	ean									
	Allen et al., 2013 -	-1.8	3.7	17	-2		• - 1-			:				

Krukowski et al., 2011	-5.5	5.6	161		weight loss difference	
McConnon et al., 2007	-1.3	1	54	-1		2.14 km
Padwal et al. 2017	-2.8	6.7	225	-3	wed-based vs non-active control	- 2.14 Kg
Steinberg et al., 2013	-6.2	3.6	47	-0	Web based us offling intervention (s6 months)	2 1 2 kg
Yardley et al., 2014	-2.3	3.66	45	-2.4	web-based vs online intervention (<o months)<="" td=""><td>- 2.15 KY</td></o>	- 2.15 KY
			5.40		Web-based vs offline intervention (>6 months)	no difference
Total (95% CI)			549		Web based vs offine intervention (>0 months)	no uncrence
Listerezzeneite TeuZ – 5 di	0.063-	20.04	df = E / I	$D \sim O A$		

Heterogeneity: Tau^{*} = 5.18; Chi^{*} = 79.81, α = 5 (P < 0.0 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.17 (P = 0.86)

Favours (Web) Favours (Control)

ICT-based intervention vs conventional therapy

• A meta-analysis (2019) showed that the weight loss effect was inferior when using only ICT-based interventions compared to conventional (face-to-face) behavioral therapy (0.82 kg; 95% CI, 0.06–1.59)

J Med Internet Res 2019;21:e298

 In a meta-analysis (2021), ICT-based interventions had an effect on weight loss but it was not statistically significant compared to that of general treatment (–0.56 kg; 95% CI, –3.74 to 4.59; *P*=0.786)

J Health Popul Nutr 2021;40:16

ICT-based intervention combined with conventional therapy

REVIEW

Open Access

Check for updates

Effectiveness of web-based feedback interventions for people with overweight and obesity: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Carmen Varela¹, Camila Oda-Montecinos², Ana Andrés³ and Carmina Saldaña^{1,4*}



SH, Self-Help SH-W, Self-Help Web GSH-W, Guided Self-Help Web MC-W, Minimal Contact Web IC –W, Intensive Contact Web

Network meta-analysis of 15 RCTs

IC–W

(Intensive Contact Web-based interventions)

→ -1.86 kg loss compared to wait list
 → obtained the first position in the ranking.

JAMA | Original Investigation

Effect of an Online Weight Management Program Integrated With Population Health Management on Weight Change A Randomized Clinical Trial

Heather J. Baer, ScD; Ronen Rozenblum, PhD, MPH; Barbara A. De La Cruz, BA; E. John Orav, PhD; Matthew Wien, BS; Nyryan V. Nolido, MA; Kristina Metzler, MS; Katherine D. McManus, MS; Florencia Halperin, MD; Louis J. Aronne, MD; Guadalupe Minero, MPH; Jason P. Block, MD, MPH; David W. Bates, MD, MSc

BMI 27-40, hypertension or T2DM patients (N=840, from 15 primary care practices in the US)

Intervention: 12 months Outcome: weight change at 12 months, 18 months

Usual care (N=326)

- Mailed general information about diet and physical activity

Online program only (N=216)

- Online weight management program (BMIQ, Intellihealth Inc)

Combined intervention group (N=298)

- Online weight management program (BMIQ, Intellihealth Inc)
- Support from population health manager who monitored and encouraged their progress





Table 2. Mean Changes in Weight-Related Outcomes

	Combined Intervention	Online program only	Usual care	P value
No. of total participants	298	216	326	
Primary analysis: changes from baseline to 12 mo ^a				
Weight, kg				
At baseline	92.1	91.4	92.3	
Change at 12 mo (95% CI)	-3.1 (-3.7 to -2.5)	-1.9 (-2.6 to -1.1)	-1.2 (-2.1 to -0.3)	<.001 ^b
Weight change at 12 mo, % (95% Cl)	-3.0 (-3.8 to -2.1)	-1.9 (-2.8 to -1.0)	-1.4 (-2.3 to -0.6)	<.001 ^b
Participants had ≥5% weight loss at 12 mo, % (95% CI)	32.3 (25.8 to 38.8)	20.8 (14.5 to 27.2)	14.9 (10.2 to 19.6)	<.001 ^b
Confidence in ability to lose weight, points ^c				
At baseline	6.5	6.8	6.8	
Change at 12 mo (95% CI)	0.5 (0.06 to 0.9)	-0.4 (-0.9 to 0.07)	-0.7 (-1.1 to-0.3)	<.001 ^b
Secondary analysis: changes over entire 18-mo follow-u	p period ^d			
Weight, kg				
At baseline	92.1	91.4	92.3	
Change at 6 mo (95% CI)	-2.9 (-3.5 to -2.3)	-2.1 (-2.8 to -1.5)	-1.0 (-1.9 to -0.1)	< 0018
Change at 12 mo (95% CI)	-3.1 (-3.7 to -2.5)	-1.9 (-2.6 to -1.1)	-1.2 (-2.1 to -0.3)	- <.001-
Change at 18 mo (95% CI)	-2.8 (-3.5 to -2.0)	-1.1 (-2.0 to -0.3)	-1.9 (-2.8 to -1.0)	
Weight change, % (95% CI)				
At 6 mo	-2.8 (-3.8 to -1.8)	-2.0 (-3.1 to -0.9)	-1.0 (-1.9 to 0.03)	
At 12 mo	-2.9 (-3.9 to -2.0)	-1.7 (-2.8 to -0.6)	-1.2 (-2.1 to -0.2)	.01e
At 18 mo	-2.6 (-3.6 to -1.5)	-0.9 (-2.0 to 0.2)	-1.9 (-2.9 to -0.9)	
Participants lost ≥5% of body weight, % (95% CI)				
At 6 mo	29.5 (21.4 to 37.5)	22.1 (14.2 to 30.0)	13.4 (7.8 to 19.0)	
At 12 mo	31.5 (23.4 to 39.5)	20.4 (13.0 to 27.9)	12.7 (7.7 to 17.7)	.20°
At 18 mo	31.3 (23.0 to 39.6)	19.9 (12.5 to 27.3)	20.9 (14.3 to 27.6)	
Aggregate estimate across all 3 time points	30.7 (22.4 to 39.0)	20.8 (13.0 to 28.6)	15.7 (6.2 to 25.1)	<.001°

Combining population health management with an online program → small but statistically significant greater amount of weight loss compared with usual care or the online program only.



Original Investigation | Psychiatry Effectiveness of a Digital Cognitive Behavior Therapy–Guided Self-Help Intervention for Eating Disorders in College Women A Cluster Randomized Clinical Trial

Ellen E. Fitzsimmons-Craft, PhD: C. Barr Taylor, MD: Andrea K. Graham, PhD: Shiri Sadeh-Sharvit, PhD: Katherine N. Balantekin, PhD, RD: Dawn M. Eichen, PhD: Grace E. Monterubio, MA; Neha J. Goel, MS; Rachael E. Flatt, MA; Anna M. Karam, PhD; Marie-Laure Firebaugh, LMSW; Corinna Jacobi, PhD; Booil Jo, PhD; Mickey T. Trockel, MD, PhD; Denise E. Wilfley, PhD

POPULATION INTERVENTION FINDINGS 28 Universities randomized 690 Women 27 Analyzed 4.0 3.8 EDE-Q Global score (95% CI) 3.6 3.4 3.2 3.0 Adult female university students with a 2.8 DSM-5 bingeing or purging eating disorder 2.6 13 Student Bodies-Eating 2.4 (excluding anorexia nervosa) identified **Disorders program** 2.2 through online screening 2.0 Mean (SD): 22.12 (4.85) y Digital CBT-guided self-help Baseline 14 Referral to usual care (educational content, meal planning/tracking tools, Referral to on-campus self-monitoring logs, coach texting) **SETTINGS / LOCATIONS** counseling center (385 women) (305 women) EDE-Q Mean Sco **PRIMARY OUTCOME** Baseline: 3.62 28 US Postinterventio Change in eating disorder psychopathology based on the Eating Disorder 1 y: 2.55 universities Examination-Questionnaire (EDE-Q) Global score after intervention and at 1 2 y: 2.22 and 2 y (range 0-6, higher score = more severe eating disorder)

Fitzsimmons-Craft EE, Taylor CB, Graham AK, et al. Effectiveness of a digital cognitive behavior therapy-guided self-help intervention for eating disorders in college women: a cluster randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(8):e2015633. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.15633

There was greater reduction in eating disorder psychopathology (EDE-Q score) in women exposed to the intervention vs control



Standardized mean difference EDE-O score (Cohen d) Postintervention: 0.40 (P < .001)Follow-up (1 and 2 y): 0.35 (P < .001)

ore	EDE-Q Mean Score
	Baseline: 3.55
n: 2.70	Postintervention: 3.05
	1 y: 2.83
	2 y: 2.51

© AMA

Student Bodies–Eating Disorders (SB-ED)

- Digital cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT)–guided self-help program +

Personal coach (under supervision of clinical psychologist)

- Timely messages, support, feedback



Core components of CBT for EDs Reducing ED behaviors (via self-monitoring, regular eating) Improving body image Regulating emotions Challenging negative thoughts Preventing relapse



Intervention group had greater improvements in.. ED psychopathology ED behaviors depression clinical impairment

Table 3. Estimated Effects of Intervention on Outcome Measures^a

	Intervention effect, f	8 (SE)	Interventio	Intervention effect							
	Postintervention		Postinterv	ention assessn	nent	Follow-up					
Outcome measures	assessment	Follow-up	t ₁₃₈₇	P value	Effect size (d)	t ₁₃₈₇	P value	Effect size (d)			
Continuous measures											
Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire	-0.44 (0.10)	-0.39 (0.12)	-4.23	<.001	-0.40	-3.30	<.001	-0.35			
Patient Health Questionnaire-9	-1.34 (0.53)	-1.28 (0.40)	-2.52	.01	-0.22	-3.18	.001	-0.21			
Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System anxiety short-form	-0.65 (0.35)	-0.84 (0.32)	-1.86	.06	-0.15	-2.64	.008	-0.20			
Clinical Impairment Assessment	-2.33 (0.94)	-3.19 (1.06)	-2.49	.01	-0.21	-3.01	.003	-0.28			
Eating disorder behaviors, rate ratio (95% CI) ^b											
Abstinence (binary)	1.48 (0.48-4.62)	1.51 (0.63-3.58)	0.68 ^c	.50		0.92 ^c	.36				
Binge eating (rate)	0.82 (0.70-0.96)	0.81 (0.65-1.00)	-2.42 ^c	.02		-1.94 ^c	.05				
All compensatory behaviors (rate) ^d	0.68 (0.54-0.86)	0.76 (0.60-0.98)	-3.26 ^c	<.001		-2.11 ^c	.04				

^a A logit link was specified in the mixed effects model assessing effects on abstinence. A log link was specified in mixed effects models assessing effects on binge eating and compensatory behavior rates.

^c The *df* for these *t* statistics is 1392.

^d All compensatory behaviors is the sum of frequency counts of compensatory behaviors in the past 28 days, including vomiting, laxative use, and excessive exercise.

^b Eating disorder behaviors included binge eating episodes, or compensatory behaviors involving vomiting, laxatives, and/or excessive exercise in the past 28 days.

JAMA Netw Open. 2020 Aug 3;3(8):e2015633

Summary of current evidences

- Technology based interventions have shown their effectiveness in obesity management.
- However, there are limitations
 - **Continuity** of participation
 - Effect size is small
 - Comparative benefit to face-to-face treatment is controversial
- Technology based interventions have additive effect to conventional treatment.
- Further research is needed to clarify
 - Long term (>1 year) efficacy
 - Efficacy in diversified population
 - Cost-effectiveness

Considerations for adoption

Concerns for adoption of DTx

Viewpoint	
September 22, 2022	Prediagnostic products and other
Unsettled Liability Issues for "Prediagnostic"	health-related applications are bringing
Wearables and Health-Related Products	exciting technologies directly
David A. Simon, JD, LLM, PhD ¹ ; Carmel Shachar, JD, MPH ¹ ; I. Glenn Cohen, JD ¹	to consumersBut these products also present a context that is rife
» Author Affiliations Article Information	with logal uncertainty for all
JAMA. 2022;328(14):1391-1392. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.16317	with legal uncertainty for all.

JAMA. 2022;328(14):1391-1392

- Liability Issues: legal gray area?
- Process surrounding the evaluation
- Cybersecurity and data rights
- Finance and reimbursement
- Needs of diverse populations



A Global Commitment to Digital Health

The Global Digital Health Partnership (GDHP) is a collaboration of country governments, territory governments, and international organizations formed to support the executive implementation of worldwide digital health services.

Our Work

The GDHP has five work streams, selected by GDHP members, that work together to develop and implement projects that dynamically impact digital health globally as well as for the GDHP members.

0

Cyber Security

Creating strategies to secure healthcare devices, data, systems, and networks

Learn More -

•

Clinical & Consumer Engagement

Building digital health literacy across global healthcare

Learn More →



Interoperability

Promoting data sharing between providers, patients, and caregivers

Learn More ·

\odot

Evidence & Evaluation

Sharing methods, best practices, and examples of digital health evaluation frameworks

Learn More -

Policy Environments

Promoting smart policymaking to foster effective, secure digital health technology use

Learn More →

https://gdhp.health/



Fig. 3. Future perspectives for the ecological environment of digital therapeutics.

Take home message

- Benefits of technology based interventions
 Access, Efficiency, Personalized approach
- Clinical evidence
 - Potential as adjuncts to conventional interventions or low-intensity intervention rather than as intensive stand-alone treatment.
- Concerns
 - Long-term adherence, effect size
 - Legal, financial, administrative issues
- Future perspective
 - Newer technologies, evolution of ecological environment
 → Multidimensional, personalized management